

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/444,359	11/18/1999	DONALD E. GILLESPIE	USW#1674	8540
22193	7590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
•	MMUNICATIONS INT	NGUYE	NGUYEN, TU X	
LAW DEPT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3800 DENVER, CO 80202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of ten many be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.75(e), In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of ten many be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.75(e), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed The period for reply separated before the time and the period of the period for reply separated before the period for reply will, by attained, cause the application to become ABANDONED 65 U.S. § 130). Falsive to reply willin the set or extended period for reply will, by attaine, cause the application to become ABANDONED 65 U.S. § 130). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2005. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2005. 2a] This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers Prior the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cecepted or b) bjected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cecepted or bim office action. 11 approved drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. 12 approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or (121. Attachment(s) 10 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Tux Nguyen - The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified store is less than thirty (30) days, and pay within the staticity minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified store is less than thirty (30) days, and pay within the staticity minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified store is less than thirty (30) days, and pay within the staticity minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified store is less than the provision of the staticity period will apply and will express (30) (MONTHS from the staticity and will express (30) (MONTHS from the stating state of this communication. If the period for reply specified store is less than the remotiles after the making date of this communication. If the period for reply specified store is less than the remotiles after the making date of this communication. Any pay received by the Office lister than three months after the making date of this communication. Any pay received by the Office lister than three months after the making date of this communication. Status Status Status Status Status Status Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 November 2005. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for all owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Algorithms			09/444,359	GILLESPIE ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherisos to the mary be windled under the provised under 150 CR1 130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Eatherisos to the mary be windled under the provised under 150 CR1 130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Eatherisos to dire reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulory printing that the period for reply is specified above. The marking reply and will expire \$K\$ (8) MONTHS from the maring date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulory minimum of the printing of the period of reply is specified to show the marking date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulory minimum of the printing of the communication. A printing of the printing of the communication (s) filed on 21 November 2005. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3b Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4b Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4c) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7b Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7c Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7c Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7c Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$119(a) (d) or (f). 2c Craffied copies of the priority documents have been received in Application is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$119 and 120 1c Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exerciscion of time rays to available index the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exerciscion of time rays to available index the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If NO period for regly is available whose the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If NO period for regly is available whose is less than three provisions. - If NO period for regly is appelled above, the maximum statistory particle will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any rely received by the officials than three months after the making date of this communication, several timely filled, may revoke any - semined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 November 2005. - 2a) □ This action is FINAL. - 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Silposition of Claims - 4) □ Claim(s) 1.3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - 5) □ Claim(s) 1.3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. - 7) □ Claim(s) 3 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filled on 1 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers - Application is objected to by the Examiner. - 10 □ The drawing(s) filled on 1 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. - If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. - 12 □ The proposed drawing correction filled on 1 is/are: a) □ accepted or by the Examiner. - Prior								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 30 FER in 15(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure or epic with in the set of extended period of reply will, by attention, supply and will excell kits (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by attention, supply and will excell kits (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by attention, supply and will excell kits (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semilar plants them adjustment. Set 97 CPR 17-04(6). Status 1)[2] Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)[2] This action is FINAL. 2b)[3] This action is replaced to the mailing date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce any semilar plants and coordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)[3] Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)[3] Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7)[3] Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 8)[4] Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 8)[5] Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 10)[7] The drawing(s) filed on 1,3-18 is/are in rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)[7] The drawing(s) filed on 1,3-18 is/are in rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10)[7] The drawing(s) filed on 1,3-18 is/are in rejected to by the Examiner. 11] Free proposed drawing correction filed on 1,3-18 is/are in paper very by the Examiner. 12] The coath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are: a) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are: a) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected or b) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are: a) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are: a) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected or b) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected or by 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected or 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1,3-17 and 19-17	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 19 (Pto 413) Paper No(s) 16 Notice of References Cited (PTO-893)		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	lovember 2005	•				
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s)	· <u> </u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		·—		occoution as to the morite is				
4) Claim(s) 1.3-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 16 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·							
Solid Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6 Claim(s) 1.3-17 and 19-42 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		•						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· ·	•	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	;					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2 Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 11 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments, see Declaration of Prior Invention under 37 CFR 1.131, filed 11/21/05, with respect to independent claims 1 and 17 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Office Action of Final reject has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as the following indications.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-17, 19-33, 35-38 and 40-42, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alperovich et al. (US Patent 6,233,448).

Regarding claim 1, Alperovich et al. disclose a method for processing telephone calls for a mobile subscriber associated with a wireless network the method comprising:

at the wireless network, receiving from the mobile subscriber user-defined, location-dependent rules associated with at least one telephone service subscribed to by the mobile subscriber (see col.4 lines 20-25), wherein each rule received from the mobile subscriber at the wireless network includes a specification for at least one geographic area associated with the mobile subscriber and rules for processing the at

Application/Control Number: 09/444,359

Art Unit: 2684

least one telephone service for the mobile subscriber when the mobile subscriber is in one of the geographic areas (see col.3 lines 65 through col.4 line 15),

determining a current location of the mobile subscriber (see col.2 lines 15-17); and

processing the telephone services based on the location-dependent rules and the current location of the mobile subscriber (see col.2 lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 17, Alperovich et al. disclose database (see col.4 lines 21-25) for storing user-defined, location-dependent rules associated with at least one telephone service subscribed to by the mobile subscriber; and service logic for determining a current location of the mobile subscriber and generating call processing instructions for processing the telephone calls based on the user-defined, location-dependent rules and the current location of the mobile subscriber (see col.2 lines 10-40).

Regarding claims 3-4 and 19-20, Alperovich et al. disclose defining the at least one geographic includes defining a dynamic area (see col.5 lines 57-65) dependent on the instantaneous location of the subscriber.

Regarding claims 5-7, 21-23, Alperovich et al. disclose the specification defines a static geographic area (see fig.2) independent of the current location of the subscriber.

Regarding claims 8, 14-15 and 24-25 and 27, Alperovich et al. disclose receiving a signal from the mobile subscriber (see 34, fig.1); determining the location of the mobile subscriber based on the signal from the mobile subscriber and the known location of the at least one base station (see 32, fig.1, col.3 lines 28-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/444,359

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 9, Alperovich et al. disclose receiving signal includes continuously receiving the signal from the wireless subscriber (see col.2 lines 14-15, "periodically" reads on "continuously").

Regarding claim 10, 26, Alperovich et al. disclose receiving signal includes the signal from the wireless subscriber in response to a prompt from the wireless network (see col.3 lines 28-64).

Regarding claim 11, Alperovich et al. disclose receiving the signal includes receiving a Global Positioning Signal from the mobile subscriber (see col.3 lines 29-30).

Regarding claims 12-13, 28-29 and 30-31, Alperovich et al. disclose the service logic, in receiving the signal (see col.5 lines 61-65), outgoing call form the mobile subscriber (see col.5 lines 46-47), is further operative to receive a strength of the signal from the mobile subscriber (see col.3 lines 34-50, "triangulation" reads on "signal strength, triangulation is a method to determined user location base on signal strength).

Regarding claims 16 and 32, Alperovich et al. disclose determining supplemental subscriber information from the mobile subscriber (see col.4 lines 51-52); and wherein processing a telephone call further comprises processing the telephone call based on the supplemental subscriber information.

Regarding claims 33, 37-38 and 42, Alperovich et al. disclose the current location of the mobile subscriber includes an area not defined by the boundaries of a cell of the wireless network (see col.5 lines 59-61).

Application/Control Number: 09/444,359

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding to claims 35-36, 40-41, Alperovich et al. disclose at least one telephone service includes call forwarding and do not disturb (see col.5 lines 25-26, col.5 lines 61-62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 34 and 39, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alperovich et al. in view of Rodrigues (US Patent 6,577,857).

Regarding claims 34 and 39, Laperovich et al. fail to disclose at least one telephone service includes caller identification.

Rodrigues discloses caller identification (col.10 lines 21-45). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Alperovichet al. with the above teaching of Rodrigues in order to provide services to authorized subscriber.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7883. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (571) 272-7882. The

Art Unit: 2684

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

December 17, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER